MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS WESTERN DISTRICT

COMPLETE TITLE OF CASE:	
DESHAY TROTTER,	A 11
v.	Appellant
STATE OF MISSOURI.	
	Respondent
DOCKET NUMBER WD76472	
DATE: June 10, 2014	
Appeal From:	
Circuit Court of Jackson County, MO The Honorable Edith Messina, Judge	
Appellate Judges:	
Division Four James Edward Welsh, C.J., Lisa White Hardwick, J., and Kevin Harrell, Sp. J.	
Attorneys:	
Mark Grothoff, Columbia, MO	Counsel for Appellant
Attorneys:	
Evan Buchheim, Jefferson City, MO	Counsel for Respondent

MISSOURI APPELLATE COURT OPINION SUMMARY MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS, WESTERN DISTRICT

DESHAY TROTTER, Appellant, v. STATE OF MISSOURI, Respondent

WD76472 Jackson County

Before Division Four Judges: Welsh, C.J., Hardwick, J., and Harrell, Sp. J.

Deshay Trotter appeals the circuit court's judgment denying his Rule 29.15 motion for post-conviction relief in which he sought to vacate his convictions for second-degree felony murder, two counts of unlawful use of a weapon, three counts of first-degree assault, and six counts of armed criminal action (ACA). Trotter alleged that both trial and appellate counsel were ineffective in failing to challenge one of his ACA convictions on the basis that it violated the double jeopardy clause because two of the ACA convictions were associated with the same unlawful use of a weapon offense.

AFFIRMED

Division Four holds:

The circuit court did not clearly err in denying Trotter's claims of ineffective assistance of trial and appellate counsel because both of the ACA convictions that he challenges were authorized by law, and counsel is not ineffective or unreasonable for failing to raise an issue that is not legally supported.

Opinion by James Edward Welsh, Chief Judge

June 10, 2014

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

THIS SUMMARY IS UNOFFICIAL AND SHOULD NOT BE QUOTED OR CITED.